

Contract Number F33657-92-D-2055
CDRL Item A009, Data Item Mgmt-80057
Report No. SID/MR-94/0045
(Unclassified)
SID12167

TASK ASSIGNMENT PLAN

for

Analysis of the Air Force Systems Modification Process & Policies

Prepared for HQ USAF/LGSI Pentagon Washington, DC 20330

Prepared by Synergy, Inc. 1763 Columbia Rd., NW Washington, DC 20009-2834

17 October 1994

Submitted by SIDAC 5100 Springfield Pike, Suite 110 Dayton, Ohio 45431-1231

Approved for public released

Demouses Demoused

19970128 069

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1213 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

"

1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan	nk)	2. REPORT DATE 1 October 1994		 REPORT TYPE A Task Assignment 		COVERED
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITLE	!		1			G NUMBERS
	Task Assignment Plan for Analysis	s of the A	Air Force Systems Modification	on Pro	ocess & Policies	Contract F3365	No. 57-92-D-2055
6.	AUTHOR(S)					Delivery	Order No. 0061
	Valera, Giselle Reed, Raymond L.					·	
7.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				RMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
	Synergy, Inc. 1763 Columbia Rd, NW Washington, DC 20009					NUMBE:	12167
						310	12107
	SPONSORING/MONITORING AC	GENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	ES)			DRING/MONITORING AGENCY F NUMBER
	1030 Air Force Pentagon Washington, DC 20330-1030					CID	/ND 04/0045
						210	/MR-94/0045
128	. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	STATEM	ENT			12b. DISTRI	BUTION CODE
	Approved for Public Release; Distr	ribution i	s Unlimited.				
13.	ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	is)					
	This Task Assignment Plan will ex (AF) modification process. The grequirements and unnecessary coshould be improved.	joal will t	e to minimize the time it tak	ces for	aquiring modification	kits, administi	analysis of the current Air Force rative burden, AF oversight R&M) of the modifications process
14.	SUBJECT TERMS						15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Å	Air Force modification process, Reli	iabity an	d Maintainability(R&M)				16. PRICE CODE
	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1 OF REPORT Unclassified	OF.	URITY CLASSIFICATION THIS PAGE assified		SECURITY CLASSIFI ABSTRACT Inclassified	CATION OF	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT



Synergy, Inc. 1763 Columbia Road, NW Washington, DC 20009 202-232-6261 FAX: 202-232-8359

1 October 1994

Major Randy Moller HQ USAF/LGSI 1030 Air Force Pentagon Washington, DC 20330-1030

Dear Major Moller,

Contract F33657-92-D-2055 Delivery Order No. 95 CDRL A009, Data Item MGMT-80057

Enclosed is the Task Assignment Plan for Analysis Of The Air Force Systems Modification Process & Policies required under the above referenced contract.

If you have questions, please contact me at (202)232-6261.

Sincerely,

Tames A. Lutz Task Leader

Enclosures

Contract Number F33657-92-D-2055 CDRL Item A009, Data Item Mgmt-800577 Report No. SID/MR-94/0045 (Unclassified)

TASK ASSIGNMENT PLAN

for

Analysis of the Airforce Systems Modification Process & Policies

Prepared For

HQ USAF/LGSI Pentagon Washington, DC 20330

Prepared by

Synergy, Inc. 1763 Columbia Rd., NW Washington, DC 20009-2834

Submitted by

SIDAC Supportability Investment Decision Analysis Center 5100 Springfield Pike Dayton, OH 45431-1231

17 October 1994

Contract No. F33657-92-D-2055 Delivery Order No. 95 CDRL A009, Data Item DI-MGMT-80057

(Unclassified)

TASK ASSIGNMENT PLAN on ANALYSIS OF THE AIR FORCE SYSTEMS MODIFICATION PROCESS & POLICIES

Prepared for

HQ USAF/LGSI PENTAGON Washington, DC 20330

1 October 1994

Prepared by

Synergy, Inc. 1763 Columbia Road, NW Washington, DC 20009-2834

Submitted by

SIDAC 5100 Springfield Pike Dayton, OH 45431-1231

TASK ASSIGNMENT PLAN

on

ANALYSIS OF THE AIR FORCE SYSTEMS MODIFICATION PROCESS & POLICIES

INTRODUCTION

Synergy will support LGMM with a comprehensive review and analysis of the current Air Force (AF) modification process. The goal will be to minimize the time it takes for acquiring modification kits, administrative burden, AF oversight requirements, and unnecessary contract requirements and costs. As a result, the reliability and maintainability (R&M) of the modifications process will be improved. Synergy will conduct this support through the use of a flow-chart. The tool used should allow LGM staff the ability to quickly search and locate specific topics. It should also indicate the critical paths and interfaces required to execute the modifications process. Field agencies will conduct similar analysis of the modification process. Their results will be incorporated into future recommendations for changing the modification process.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Synergy will complete a comprehensive analysis of the Air Force modification process, document it, and provide recommendations to improve the process.

TECHNICAL APPROACH

In response to the statement of work, Synergy will develop an IDEF (Integrated Computer-Aided Manufacturing Definition Language) activity model. This is a structured analysis methodology used to describe activities and their associated data. Activities are described in the IDEF0 format (the Activity Model) and the data structures are described in the IDEF1x format (the Data Model). An IDEF analysis of an organization will focus on the methods of doing business that an organization employs toward its objectives. The objectives in this case are to minimize:

- ✓ The time it takes for acquiring modification kits
- ✓ Administrative burden
- ✓ AF oversight requirements
- ✓ Unnecessary contract requirements and costs

Synergy will develop an IDEF0 model for LGMM by doing the following:

1. Preliminary Research - Review current AF Modifications Process Documentation (AFM 63-XX & AFM 800-XX) to identify information that can be modeled, that is incolmplete, and that can not be modeled.

- 2. **Develop** AS-IS **IDEF0** Analyze current AF modifications processes, using the documentation discussed in stage one and additional sources if necessary. Document these activities in an automated IDEF0 model called the AS-IS.
- **3**. **Develop** *TO-BE* **IDEF0** Keeping the above objectives in mind, describe how the AF modifications processes and activities should be executed. Document the recommended activities in an automated IDEFO model called the *TO-BE*. This model will provide consistent and validated direction for an Implementation Plan.
- 4. **Develop Implementation Plan** Provde a schedule and instructions for the re-design of the AF modifications process and the data elements needed to support that business.

PROJECT SCHEDULE AND MILESTONES

This technical approach is intended to provide LGMM with the flexibility to determine the eventual direction of the project. The Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) in figure 1 represents Synergy's proposed timeline for accomplishing the tasks associated with the statement of work. Decision points have been included in the WBS. At a decision point, Synergy will meet with LGM representatives to discuss the progress of the previous stage and what specific direction should be taken in following stages.

Synergy will apply the most experienced personnel on this project and will produce the best products possible within the time and funds allocated by the government. The Synergy program manager will prioritize the efforts for the tasks in order to make the most efficient and effective use of available resources.

DELIVERABLES

The following list of deliverables will be submitted for the efforts performed under this task:

- (1) Final technical report on the task (CDRL A001). This report will provide analysis with a flow-chart of the modification planning and management process depicting the critical paths and time-lines, and a streamlined version (15-20 pages) of the manual.
- (2) Periodic progress and status reports submitted every thirty (30) days throughout the duration of the contract (CDRL A004). These reports will keep the SIDAC COTR informed of the progress of the task on a monthly basis.
- (3) The software necessary to complete the task (CDRL A006).
- (4) Task Assignment Plan (CDRL A009). The plan presented in this document, which covers the objectives, technical approach, and schedule for performance of the statement of work.

PROJECT STAFFING AND EXPERIENCE

This project will be staffed with extremely well qualified personnel. The education, capabilities, and experience of key personnel are summarized here.

Mr. James A. Lutz, Program Manager, Ph.D. program in Mathematics/ graduate studies in operations research and statistics. He has over 20 years experience in logistics management, capability assessment, program and budget analysis, and operations analysis. As a member of Synergy's Operations Management Committee, he directs the performance of work on all Synergy contracts. He specializes in the development and application of quantitative models for analysis of policies in logistics management, budgeting, capability assessment, and R&M.

Mr. Raymond L. Reed, Sr. Logistics Management Specialist, M.S. Organic Chemistry. Mr. Reed has over 20 years experience in Air Force logistics. His areas of expertise include logistics management, tactical systems analysis, and acquisition management. He serves as the project manager for development and implementation of new parametric/interactive models, designed to perform logistics resource assessments of the U.S. Air Force's air mobility and air combat weapon systems. He will serve as the project manager for the tasking described in this document.

Mr. William E. Faragher, Sr. Scientist, M.A. Mathematics. Mr. Faragher has over 35 years experience in operations research, and logistics analysis. He is responsible for the software development for a suite of logistics assessment models designed for estimating the impact of budget decision on aircraft readiness and sustainability. He directed the development of a data base management system that imports data from a variety of sources and generates a set of output files for use in Synergy-developed logistics assessment models. Because of his strong scientific and mathematical background, he will serve this tasking on a consultory basis.

Ms. Lisa Lambie, Junior Operations Research Analyst, B.S.E. in Operations Research/ Engineering Management Systems, B.S.E. in Civil Engineering. She has several years experience in information systems analysis and development, including IDEF analysis and modelling. She provided analytical expertise and IDEF software support for the AF Asset Management IDEF project; and she was the lead analyst providing analytical and managerial expertise for the Marine Corps Asset Management IDEF project. Currently, Ms. Lambie is the task manager and lead analyst for various Synergy projects, involving the analysis of the AF Depot Maintenance process, including AS-IS and TO-BE activity modeling (IDEF0), data modelling (IDEF1x), information systems specifications and the development of a migration plan.

Ms. Giselle E. Valera, Administrative Assistant, B.A. International Relations. Ms. Valera has conducted an analysis of the AF's resource allocation process for PEY. This analysis used influence diagrams to show the inter-relationship between resources, and their costs, and weapon system readiness and sustainability. The effort served as a benchmark analysis within and across weapon systems. She is currently the task manager for the development and implementation of the tasking outlined in this document.

POINT OF CONTACT

Major Randy Moller Logistics Analysis Team HQ USAF/LGSI Pentagon Washington, DC 20330

Voice: DSN 225-6730; Commercial 703-695-6730 Fax: DSN 227-6787; Commercial 703-695-6787

Figure 1 - Work Breakdown Structure

Row	Task Name		1994	94						1995				
#	I den ivalile	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	huh	Juc	Aug	Sep
-	Develop an IDEFO Activity mode													
2	Preliminary Research													
3	Decision Point			4			,							
4	Develop Draft AS-IS			-1}-										
ည							\Diamond							
9	Finalize AS-IS						1							
7									ব					
œ														
6											◁			
10											IJ			
11	11 Decision Point												◁	
12	12 Develop Implementation Plan													
13	13 Technical Report													⊲
	To the state of th													
								•						
							_							
								-						
														-